

S/N 10/544,233

In response to the Office Action dated July 7, 2010

REMARKS

Favorable reconsideration of this application is requested in view of the following remarks.

Amendment to the Specification

The specification has been amended to correct an error in translation. An erroneous translation was made on page 11, line 7. The specification originally read “the audio data is recorded before the video data”. The specification has been amended to replace the word “recorded” with “reproduced”. Support for this amendment can be found in the specification at, for example, page 14, lines 25-30 which discusses the reproduction of audio data prior to the reproduction of video data. No new matter is added.

35 USC § 112 Rejections

Claims 1 and 4 are rejected under 35 USC § 112, first paragraph. The rejection contends that there is no description in the specification for “the control portion controls an operation of the pick-up in the following order of (1) to (4)” and that there is no disclosure for “only original audio data of the one block are read out with video data not read out”.

Regarding the contention that there is no description to support “the control portion controls an operation of the pick-up in the following order of (1) to (4)”, this is described in the specification at page 11, lines 12 to 18. In addition, the detailed description of this sequence is discussed in the specification at page 55, line 29 to page 57, line 15.

Regarding the Examiner’s contention that there is no description to support “only original audio data of the one block are read out with video data not read out”, this is described in the specification at page 11, lines 4 to 7. In addition, the detailed description of this is discussed in the specification at page 56, line 23 to page 57, line 4.

It is respectfully requested that the rejection of claims 1 and 4 be withdrawn.

S/N 10/544,233

In response to the Office Action dated July 7, 2010

Applicants respectfully request that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

If the Examiner believes a telephone conference would advance the prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at the below-listed telephone number.



Dated: Sept. 20, 2010

Respectfully submitted,

HAMRE, SCHUMANN, MUELLER &
LARSON, P.C.
P.O. Box 2902
Minneapolis, MN 55402-0902
(612) 455-3800

By: 

Douglas P. Mueller
Reg. No. 30,300
DPM/llf